Quality of life assessment in musculoskeletal health

Charlotte Beaudart, Emmanuel Biver, Olivier Bruyère, Cyrus Cooper, Nasser Al-Daghri, Jean-Yves Reginster & René Rizzoli

Aging Clinical and Experimental Research

e-ISSN 1720-8319

Aging Clin Exp Res DOI 10.1007/s40520-017-0794-8 Aging Clinical and Experimental Research

🖉 Springer



Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer International Publishing AG. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be selfarchived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



REVIEW

Quality of life assessment in musculo-skeletal health

Charlotte Beaudart¹ · Emmanuel Biver² · Olivier Bruyère¹ · Cyrus Cooper^{3,4} · Nasser Al-Daghri⁵ · Jean-Yves Reginster^{1,6} · René Rizzoli²

Received: 22 May 2017 / Accepted: 23 June 2017 © Springer International Publishing AG 2017

Abstract Musculoskeletal disorders affect morbidity, quality of life and mortality, and represent an increasing economic and societal burden in the context of population aging and increased life expectancy. Improvement of quality of life should be one of the priorities of any interventions to prevent and treat musculoskeletal disorders in the ageing population. Two main approaches, namely generic and disease-specific instruments, can be applied to measure health-related quality of life. Among the generic tools available in scientific literature, the short form 36 questionnaire

Charlotte Beaudart and Emmanuel Biver Co-first authors.

Charlotte Beaudart c.beaudart@ulg.ac.be

> Emmanuel Biver Emmanuel.Biver@hcuge.ch

René Rizzoli Rene.Rizzoli@unige.ch

- ¹ Department of Public Health, Epidemiology and Health Economics, University of Liège, Quartier Hôpital, Avenue Hippocrate 13, CHUB23, 4000 Liège, Belgium
- ² Division of Bone Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, Geneva University Hospitals, Rue Gabrielle Perret-Gentil 4, 1211 Geneva 14, Switzerland
- ³ MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
- ⁴ NIHR Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- ⁵ Prince Mutaib Chair for Biomarkers of Osteoporosis, Biochemistry Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia
- ⁶ Director of the Bone, Cartilage and Muscle Metabolism Unit and Chair of the Department of Public Health Sciences, CHU Liège, Quai Godefroid Kurth 45, 4000 Liège, Belgium

Published online: 29 June 2017

(SF-36) and the Euroqol five item questionnaire (EQ-5D) are two of the most popular questionnaires used to quantify the health related quality of life in people with musculo-skeletal disorders. However, because generic tools may not always be able to detect subtle effects of a specific condition on quality of life, a specific tool is highly valuable. Specific tools improve the ability to clinically characterize quality of life in subjects with a specific musculoskeletal disorder, as well as the capacity to assess changes over time in the QoL of these subjects. The recent development of specific tools should help to validate preventive and therapeutic interventions in this field.

Keywords Quality of life · Musculo-skeletal health · Sarcopenia · Osteoporosis · Osteoarthritis · Frailty

Introduction

With population aging and increased life expectancy, people are now living longer and are becoming increasingly susceptible to non-communicable diseases, in particular musculoskeletal disorders [1]. Musculoskeletal diseases increase with age and represent the fouth leading contributors to disease burden in older people after cardiovascular diseases, malignant neoplasms and chronic respiratory diseases [2]. Their burden also increases with age and will further increase with ageing of the global population [3]. The burden attributable to musculoskeletal disorders is estimated having increased by 46% from 1990 to 2010 [4]. The increase of socio-demographic status also contributes to higher disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) associated with musculoskeletal diseases [5].

Musculoskeletal aging is a very large phenotype including four main conditions, osteoporosis, osteoarthritis,



sarcopenia and frailty, which are associated with adverse outcomes such as falls, fractures, functional decline or increased mortality [6–10]. All of them highly affect disability and independence levels, quality of life and demands on health systems [11–13]. For instance, at the age of 50, the lifetime risk of any osteoporotic fracture lies within 50% in women and 20% in men, and further increases with advancing age [14, 15]. Osteoporosis is a major risk of fractures, but sarcopenia itself also increases the risk of fracture [16, 17], possibly via an increase of the risk of falls [18]. A vicious circle of musculoskeletal aging arises, leading to chronic pain, loss of mobility and slowness with their multiple clinical and societal consequences [19].

Burden of musculo-skeletal disorders

Patients with musculoskeletal disorders experience loss of mobility, of independence, higher rates of institutionalisation and higher mortality rates. As a consequence, all musculoskeletal disorders significantly impairs patients' health-related quality of life (HROoL) [20–23], and generate at the societal level high direct and indirect healthcare costs. Among injuries resulting from low trauma falls, hip and vertebral fractures lead to the greatest activities of daily living limitations immediately after the fall [24]. Only 40-70% of hip fracture survivors recover their prefracture level of mobility, ability and independence to perform activities of daily living, and a substantial proportion requires assistance for various tasks in the 2 years after fracture, although they were independent before fracture [25]. Fractures also generate high hospital and healthcare costs which can remain above pre-fracture levels 5 years following the index fracture [26, 27]. In Switzerland during 2000, the overall incidences of hospitalization due to fractures were 969 and 768 per 100,000 in women and men, respectively, showing that osteoporosis continued to be a heavy burden on the healthcare systems [28, 29]. There is a high risk of transfer to a long-term care facility following osteoporotic fractures, reaching 10-30% of patients in the year following hospital discharge after hip fracture [25, 30]. This risk is about 3 times greater after hospitalization for a hip fracture or other fall-related injuries than for a non-fallrelated reason [31].

Sarcopenia also significantly impacts self-reported quality of life and physical activity level [32, 33]. In women from the prospective Study of Osteoporotic Fractures, it was shown that slowness was associated with greater health care utilization, including greater number of hospitalizations, rate of hospitalization days and likelihood of a short-term skilled nursing facility stay than women without slowness [34]. Musculoskeletal disorders and their consequences are also associated with increased mortality risk. This has been shown for after low-trauma fractures [35–37], in patients with painful osteoarthritis [38] or with sarcopenia or deficits in mobility, even after adjustment for confounding factors [39, 40]. This burden is deemed to increase, driven by population aging, and largely exceeds service capacity, leading to a substantial treatment gap, in particular in the context of osteoporosis in which fractures are, however, preventable [29, 41].

Assessment of quality of life in musculoskeletal disorders

HRQoL is considered to be a subjective assessment of the impact of disease and treatment across physical, psychological, social and somatic domains of functioning and well-being [42]. This is also one of the most important concept in all medical illnesses that involves all relevant factors to health status directly and indirectly. HROoL is also an important measure of a patient's perception of his/her illness. Measurement of HRQoL has become increasingly important in research and clinic over the past three decades. Randomised controlled trials as well as observational studies increasingly include QoL measures, usually as a secondary endpoint. Moreover, many medical interventions are now designed to improve quality of life rather than prolong the life. Additionally, there are studies utilizing measures of QoL as predictors, for example of physical decline or death [43, 44]. Inclusion of QoL measures into studies is no longer restricted to highly developed western countries, but now includes countries from all over the world [45, 46].

Research published clinical practice guidelines recommending providers to routinely evaluate patients' HRQoL and use their assessment to modify and guide patient care [47]. Two main approaches, namely generic and diseasespecific instruments, can be applied to measure HRQoL [48].

Generic tools to assess quality of life in musculoskeletal health

Generic HRQoL instruments are designed to be applicable across a wide range of populations and interventions. Indeed, these simple and effective instruments [49] are designed to focus on domains of quality of life that can be expected to be affected by health-care interventions. They are, therefore, widely used in observational studies and clinical studies since they allow comparison between, for example, different populations suffering from a same disease or comparison of the quality of life impact of the disease based on the state of the disease.

Among the generic tools available in scientific literature, the short form 36 questionnaire (SF-36) and the Euroqol five item questionnaire (EQ-5D) are two of the most popular questionnaires used to quantify the health related quality of life in people with musculoskeletal disorders. The SF-36 questionnaire [50] is composed of 36 items measuring eight health-related quality of health domains (physical functioning, role limitation due to physical problems, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role limitation due to emotional problem, and mental health). The EQ-5D questionnaire is also a generic tool [51], which records the level of self-reported problems according to five dimensions (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression).

Studies employing the SF-36 have been undertaken in patients presenting various musculoskeletal disorders such as chronic back disorders [52–54], arthritis [55–57], osteoarthritis [58], rheumatoid arthritis [59], spinal problems [60, 61], fibromyalgia [62, 63] but also sarcopenia [64–66]. The EQ-5D has also been used for disorders such as back disorders [67, 68], osteoarthritis of the knee [69], rheumatoid arthritis [70, 71], sarcopenia [64, 72] and several musculoskeletal diseases [73].

A study including 3664 participants assessed the prevalence of twelve common musculoskeletal disorders [74]. Results reported that subjects with musculoskeletal diseases (n = 1776) had a worst quality of life compared to those without any musculoskeletal conditions (n = 1888). Lower scores were found for all SF-36 dimensions. The worsted results were found for fibromyalgia, osteoporosis of the hip, osteoporosis and rheumatoid arthritis, one again across all domains of the SF-36 questionnaire. Subjects with a musculoskeletal disease were also reported more health problems on the EQ-5D dimensions than those without a musculoskeletal disease. Subjects suffering from a musculoskeletal diseases presented, therefore, more problems on mobility (29.9 versus 10.5%), self-care (6.6 versus 2.3%), usual activities (34.5 versus 12.4%), pain/discomfort (62.5 versus 31.2%) and, finally, anxiety/depression (23.3 versus 14.8%).

One of the major criticisms highlighted against the use of generic QoL questionnaire is that these instruments, designed to measure HRQoL over a broad spectrum of diseases, may not be sensitive enough to detect HRQoL specific to a particular illness of interest. Indeed, they are often based on a relatively narrow focus on the concept of health and, therefore, they address only a selective number of domains. Moreover, they carry the risk of being insensitive to changes over time or treatment. In some specific musculoskeletal condition, such as sarcopenia for example, it is acknowledged that generic tools should be supplemented with disease-specific instruments [75].

Specific tools to assess quality of life in musculoskeletal health

Because generic tools may not always be able to detect subtle effects of a specific condition on QoL, a specific tool is highly valuable to assess the impact of musculoskeletal conditions on QoL. A large number of disease-specific tools already exist in the field of musculoskeletal health. In the field of osteoporosis, for example, no less than six specific health-related quality of life tools are available [Qualeffo-41 [48, 76], questionnaire QoL in Osteoporosis (QUALIOST) [77], osteoporosis assessment questionnaire (OPAQ) [78], osteoporosis QoL questionnaire (OQLQ) [79], osteoporosis functional disability questionnaire (OFDQ) [80] and osteoporosis-targeted QoL questionnaire (OPTQoL) [81]]. Specific quality of life questionnaires are also available for other conditions, such as arthritis in general [e.g. WOMAC, rheumatoid arthritis quality of life (RAQoL) [82]] but also for some specific form of arthritis such as knee and hip arthrisits [osteoarthritis knee and hip quality of life questionnaire (OAKHQOL) [83]] or psoriatic arthritis (PsAQoL questionnaire [84]). Other specific QoL questionnaires have also been found for sarcopenia [sarcopenia & QoL questionnaire (SarQoL®) [85]] and fibromyalgia [fibromyalgia impact questionnaire and its revised version (FIQ) [86]].

Specific tools improve the ability to clinically characterize QoL in subjects with a specific musculoskeletal disorder, as well as the capacity to assess changes over time in the QoL of these subjects. Even if therapeutic interventions in the field of sarcopenia and frailty are still in their infancy [87, 88], these tools can be used to assess the relevance of these interventions and their effectiveness in terms of change in quality of life. The disadvantage of specific tools is that they do not offer the possibility to compare the quality of life of subjects with other types of population.

Conclusion:

Musculoskeletal disorders are major health conditions associated with ageing, which affect morbidity, quality of life and mortality, and contribute to increased healthcare costs for the society. In the context of population ageing, of improvement of life expectancy and of the consensual previsions of marked increase of the proportion of older people, they represent a great challenge to limit their current and future economic and societal burden. Improvement of QoL should be the priority of any interventions to prevent and treat osteoporosis, osteoarthritis and sarcopenia in the ageing population. The recent development of tools dedicated to the assessment of QoL related to musculoskeletal conditions should help to validate such interventions. Acknowledgements We thank the Prince Mutaib Bin Abdullah Chair for Biomarkers of Osteoporosis and Deanship of Scientific Research, King Saud University for their support.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest Charlotte Beaudart, Olivier Bruyère and Jean-Yves Reginster are the shareholders of SarQoL[®] sprl. Others authors have no relevant competing interests to declare.

Ethical approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Informed consent For this type of study informed consent is not required.

References

- Hoy DG, Smith E, Cross M et al (2014) The global burden of musculoskeletal conditions for 2010: an overview of methods. Ann Rheum Dis 73:982–989
- Prince MJ, Wu F, Guo Y et al (2015) The burden of disease in older people and implications for health policy and practice. Lancet 385:549–562
- Chatterji S, Byles J, Cutler D et al (2015) Health, functioning, and disability in older adults-present status and future implications. Lancet 385:563–575
- March L, Smith EUR, Hoy DG et al (2014) Burden of disability due to musculoskeletal (MSK) disorders. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 28:353–366
- Murray CJL, Barber RM, Foreman KJ et al (2015) Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 306 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 188 countries, 1990–2013: quantifying the epidemiological transition. Lancet 386:2145–2191
- Beaudart C, Zaaria M, Pasleau F et al (2017) Health outcomes of sarcopenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One Public Libr Sci 12:e0169548
- Cesari M, Landi F, Calvani R et al (2017) Rationale for a preliminary operational definition of physical frailty and sarcopenia in the SPRINTT trial. Aging Clin Exp Res 29:81–88
- Marzetti E, Calvani R, Tosato M et al (2017) Sarcopenia: an overview. Aging Clin Exp Res 29:11–17
- 9. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Kiesswetter E, Drey M et al (2017) Nutrition, frailty, and sarcopenia. Aging Clin Exp Res 29:43–48
- Bartley MM, Geda YE, Christianson TJH et al (2016) Frailty and mortality outcomes in cognitively normal older people: sex differences in a population-based study. J Am Geriatr Soc 64:132–137
- 11. Dawson A, Dennison E (2016) Measuring the musculoskeletal aging phenotype. Maturitas 93:13–17
- Cummings SR, Melton LJ (2002) Epidemiology and outcomes of osteoporotic fractures. Lancet (London, England) 359:1761–1767
- Cross M, Smith E, Hoy D et al (2014) The global burden of hip and knee osteoarthritis: estimates from the global burden of disease 2010 study. Ann Rheum Dis 73:1323–1330
- Johnell O, Kanis J (2005) Epidemiology of osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporos Int 16:S3–S7
- Lippuner K, Johansson H, Kanis JA et al (2009) Remaining lifetime and absolute 10-year probabilities of osteoporotic fracture in Swiss men and women. Osteoporos Int 20:1131–1140

- Hars M, Biver E, Chevalley T et al (2016) Low lean mass predicts incident fractures independently from FRAX: a prospective cohort study of recent retirees. J Bone Miner Res 31:2048–2056
- Scott D, Chandrasekara SD, Laslett LL et al (2016) Associations of sarcopenic obesity and dynapenic obesity with bone mineral density and incident fractures over 5–10 years in communitydwelling older adults. Calcif Tissue Int 99:30–42
- Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Orav JE, Kanis JA et al (2015) Comparative performance of current definitions of sarcopenia against the prospective incidence of falls among community-dwelling seniors age 65 and older. Osteoporos Int 26:2793–2802
- Beaudart C, Rizzoli R, Bruyère O et al (2014) Sarcopenia: burden and challenges for public health. Arch Public Health 72:45
- Xie F, Kovic B, Jin X et al (2016) Economic and humanistic burden of osteoarthritis: a systematic review of large sample studies. Pharmacoeconomics 34:1087–1100
- Abimanyi-Ochom J, Watts JJ, Borgström F et al (2015) Changes in quality of life associated with fragility fractures: Australian arm of the International Cost and Utility Related to Osteoporotic Fractures Study (AusICUROS). Osteoporos Int 26:1781–1790
- 22. Tarride J-E, Burke N, Leslie WD et al (2016) Loss of health related quality of life following low-trauma fractures in the elderly. BMC Geriatr 16:84
- 23. Al-Sari UA, Tobias J, Clark E (2016) Health-related quality of life in older people with osteoporotic vertebral fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Osteoporos Int 27:2891–2900
- 24. Yu W-Y, Hwang H-F, Hu M-H et al (2013) Effects of fall injury type and discharge placement on mortality, hospitalization, falls, and ADL changes among older people in Taiwan. Accid Anal Prev 50:887–894
- 25. Dyer SM, Crotty M, Fairhall N et al (2016) A critical review of the long-term disability outcomes following hip fracture. BMC Geriatr 16:158
- Leslie WD, Lix LM, Finlayson GS et al (2013) Direct healthcare costs for 5 years post-fracture in Canada: a long-term population-based assessment. Osteoporos Int 24:1697–1705
- Leal J, Gray AM, Prieto-Alhambra D et al (2016) Impact of hip fracture on hospital care costs: a population-based study. Osteoporos Int 27:549–558
- Lippuner K, Golder M, Greiner R (2005) Epidemiology and direct medical costs of osteoporotic fractures in men and women in Switzerland. Osteoporos Int 16:S8–S17
- Svedbom A, Ivergård M, Hernlund E et al (2014) Epidemiology and economic burden of osteoporosis in Switzerland. Arch Osteoporos 9:187
- Morin S, Lix LM, Azimaee M et al (2012) Institutionalization following incident non-traumatic fractures in community-dwelling men and women. Osteoporos Int 23:2381–2386
- Gill TM, Murphy TE, Gahbauer EA et al (2013) Association of injurious falls with disability outcomes and nursing home admissions in community-living older persons. Am J Epidemiol 178:418–425
- 32. Verlaan S, Aspray TJ, Bauer JM et al (2017) Nutritional status, body composition, and quality of life in community-dwelling sarcopenic and non-sarcopenic older adults: a case-control study. Clin Nutr 36:267–274
- 33. Filippin LI, Teixeira VN de O, da Silva MPM, Miraglia F, da Silva FS (2015) Sarcopenia: a predictor of mortality and the need for early diagnosis and intervention. Aging Clin Exp Res 27:249–254
- Cawthon PM, Lui L-Y, McCulloch CE et al (2016) Sarcopenia and health care utilization in older women. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2016:glw118
- 35. Morin S, Lix LM, Azimaee M et al (2011) Mortality rates after incident non-traumatic fractures in older men and women. Osteoporos Int 22:2439–2448

- Bliuc D, Nguyen ND, Milch VE et al (2009) Mortality risk associated with low-trauma osteoporotic fracture and subsequent fracture in men and women. JAMA 301:513–521
- von Friesendorff M, McGuigan FE, Wizert A et al (2016) Hip fracture, mortality risk, and cause of death over two decades. Osteoporos Int 27:2945–2953
- Kluzek S, Sanchez-Santos MT, Leyland KM et al (2016) Painful knee but not hand osteoarthritis is an independent predictor of mortality over 23 years follow-up of a population-based cohort of middle-aged women. Ann Rheum Dis 75:1749–1756
- De Buyser SL, Petrovic M, Taes YE et al (2016) Validation of the FNIH sarcopenia criteria and SOF frailty index as predictors of long-term mortality in ambulatory older men. Age Ageing 45:602–608
- Ensrud KE, Lui L-Y, Paudel ML et al (2016) Effects of mobility and cognition on risk of mortality in women in late life: a prospective study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 71:759–765
- 41. Briggs AM, Cross MJ, Hoy DG et al Musculoskeletal health conditions represent a global threat to healthy aging: a report for the 2015 World Health Organization World Report on Ageing and Health
- Revicki DA, Osoba D, Fairclough D et al (2000) Recommendations on health-related quality of life research to support labeling and promotional claims in the United States. Qual Life Res 9:887–900
- 43. Ul-Haq Z, Mackay DF, Pell JP et al (2014) Association between physical and mental health-related quality of life and adverse outcomes; a retrospective cohort study of 5,272 Scottish adults. BMC Public Health 14:1197
- 44. Trombetti A, Reid KF, Hars M et al (2016) Age-associated declines in muscle mass, strength, power, and physical performance: impact on fear of falling and quality of life. Osteoporos Int 27:463–471
- 45. Nglazi MD, West SJ, Dave JA et al (2014) Quality of life in individuals living with HIV/AIDS attending a public sector antiretroviral service in Cape Town, South Africa. BMC Public Health 14:676
- 46. Zhou J, Ru X, Hearst N et al (2014) Individual and householdlevel predictors of health related quality of life among middleaged people in rural Mid-east China: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 14:660
- Guyatt GH, Bombardier C, Tugwell PX (1986) Measuring disease-specific quality of life in clinical trials. CMAJ 134:889–895
- 48. Rizzoli R, Reginster JY, Arnal JF et al (2013) Quality of life in sarcopenia and frailty. Calcif Tissue Int 93:101–120
- Syddall HE, Martin HJ, Harwood RH et al (2009) The SF-36: a simple, effective measure of mobility-disability for epidemiological studies. J Nutr Health Aging 13:57–62
- Ware JE Jr, Sherbourne CD (1992) The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 30:473–483
- 51. Rabin R, de Charro F (2001) EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. Ann Med 33:337–343
- Ahrens C, Schiltenwolf M, Wang H (2010) Health-related quality of life (SF-36) in chronic low back pain and comorbid depression. Schmerz 24:251–256
- Adorno MLGR, Brasil-Neto JP (2013) Assessment of the quality of life through the SF-36 questionnaire in patients with chronic nonspecific low back pain. Acta Ortop Bras Braz Soc Orthop Traumatol 21:202–207
- Veresciagina K, Ambrozaitis KV, Spakauskas B (2007) Healthrelated quality-of-life assessment in patients with low back pain using SF-36 questionnaire. Medicina (B Aires) 43:607–613
- Talamo J, Frater A, Gallivan S et al (1997) Use of the short form 36 (SF36) for health status measurement in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology 36:463–469

- 56. Kosinski M, Keller SD, Ware JE et al (1999) The SF-36 Health Survey as a generic outcome measure in clinical trials of patients with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: relative validity of scales in relation to clinical measures of arthritis severity. Med Care 37:MS23–MS39
- 57. Hill CL, Parsons J, Taylor A et al (1999) Health related quality of life in a population sample with arthritis. J Rheumatol 26:2029–2035
- 58. Angst F, Aeschlimann A, Steiner W et al (2001) Responsiveness of the WOMAC osteoarthritis index as compared with the SF-36 in patients with osteoarthritis of the legs undergoing a comprehensive rehabilitation intervention. Ann Rheum Dis BMJ Group 60:834–840
- 59. Matcham F, Scott IC, Rayner L et al (2014) The impact of rheumatoid arthritis on quality-of-life assessed using the SF-36: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 44:123–130
- 60. Zanoli G, Jönsson B, Strömqvist B (2006) SF-36 scores in degenerative lumbar spine disorders: analysis of prospective data from 451 patients. Acta Orthop 77:298–306
- Guilfoyle MR, Seeley H, Laing RJ (2009) The Short Form 36 health survey in spine disease-validation against conditionspecific measures. Br J Neurosurg 23:401–405
- 62. Neumann L, Berzak A, Buskila D (2000) Measuring health status in Israeli patients with fibromyalgia syndrome and widespread pain and healthy individuals: utility of the short form 36-item health survey (SF-36). Semin Arthritis Rheum 29:400–408
- 63. Salaffi F, Sarzi-Puttini P, Girolimetti R et al (2009) Healthrelated quality of life in fibromyalgia patients: a comparison with rheumatoid arthritis patients and the general population using the SF-36 health survey. Clin Exp Rheumatol 27:S67–S74
- 64. Beaudart C, Reginster JY, Petermans J et al (2015) Quality of life and physical components linked to sarcopenia: the SarcoPhAge study. Exp Gerontol 69:103–110
- 65. Yadav A, Chang Y-H, Carpenter S et al (2015) Relationship between sarcopenia, six-minute walk distance and health-related quality of life in liver transplant candidates. Clin Transplant 29:134–141
- 66. Silva Neto LS, Karnikowiski MG, Tavares AB et al (2012) Association between sarcopenia, sarcopenic obesity, muscle strength and quality of life variables in elderly women. Rev Bras Fisioter 16:360–367
- 67. Johnsen LG, Hellum C, Nygaard ØP et al (2013) Comparison of the SF6D, the EQ5D, and the oswestry disability index in patients with chronic low back pain and degenerative disc disease. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 14:148
- Whynes DK, McCahon RA, Ravenscroft A et al (2013) Responsiveness of the EQ-5D health-related quality-of-life instrument in assessing low back pain. Value Health 16:124–132
- Fransen M, Edmonds J (1999) Reliability and validity of the EuroQol in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. Rheumatology 38:807–813
- Hernandez Alava M, Wailoo A, Wolfe F et al (2013) The relationship between EQ-5D, HAQ and pain in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology 52:944–950
- 71. Hurst NP, Kind P, Ruta D et al (1997) Measuring health-related quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis: validity, responsiveness and reliability of EuroQol (EQ-5D). Rheumatology 36:551–559
- 72. Go SW, Cha YH, Lee JA et al (2013) Association between sarcopenia, bone density, and health-related quality of life in Korean Men. Korean J Fam Med 34:281–288
- Wolfe F, Hawley DJ (1997) Measurement of the quality of life in rheumatic disorders using the EuroQol. Br J Rheumatol 36:786–793

- Picavet HSJ, Hoeymans N (2004) Health related quality of life in multiple musculoskeletal diseases: SF-36 and EQ-5D in the DMC3 study. Ann Rheum Dis BMJ Group 63:723–729
- 75. Ware JE Jr (1996) The SF-36 Health Survey. In: Spilker B (ed) Quality of life and pharmacoeconomics in clinical trials, 2nd edn. Lippincott-Raven, Philadalphia, pp 337–344
- 76. Lips P, Cooper C, Agnusdei D et al (1999) Quality of life in patients with vertebral fractures: validation of the Quality of Life Questionnaire of the European Foundation for Osteoporosis (QUALEFFO). Working Party for Quality of Life of the European Foundation for Osteoporosis. Osteoporos Int 10:150–160
- 77. Marquis P, Cialdella P, De la Loge C (2001) Development and validation of a specific quality of life module in post-menopausal women with osteoporosis: the QUALIOST. Qual Life Res 10:555–566
- Randell AG, Bhalerao N, Nguyen TV et al (1998) Quality of life in osteoporosis: reliability, consistency, and validity of the Osteoporosis Assessment Questionnaire. J Rheumatol 25:1171–1179
- Measuring quality of life in women with osteoporosis (1997) Osteoporosis Quality of Life Study Group. Osteoporos Int 7:478–487
- Helmes E, Hodsman A, Lazowski D et al (1995) A questionnaire to evaluate disability in osteoporotic patients with vertebral compression fractures. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 50:M91–M98
- Lydick E, Zimmerman SI, Yawn B et al (1997) Development and validation of a discriminative quality of life questionnaire for osteoporosis (the OPTQoL). J Bone Miner Res 12:456–463

- Tijhuis GJ, Jong Z de, Zwinderman AH et al (2001) The validity of the rheumatoid arthritis quality of life (RAQoL) questionnaire. Rheumatology 40:1112–1119
- Rat A-C, Coste J, Pouchot J et al (2005) OAKHQOL: a new instrument to measure quality of life in knee and hip osteoarthritis. J Clin Epidemiol 58:47–55
- McKenna SP, Doward LC, Whalley D et al (2004) Development of the PsAQoL: a quality of life instrument specific to psoriatic arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis BMJ Group 63:162–169
- Beaudart C, Biver E, Reginster J-Y et al (2015) Development of a self-administrated quality of life questionnaire for sarcopenia in elderly subjects: the SarQoL. Age Ageing 44:960–966
- Bennett RM, Friend R, Jones KD et al (2009) The Revised Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQR): validation and psychometric properties. Arthritis Res Ther 11:R120
- Reginster J-Y, Cooper C, Rizzoli R et al (2016) Recommendations for the conduct of clinical trials for drugs to treat or prevent sarcopenia. Aging Clin Exp Res 28:47–58
- Landi F, Cesari M, Calvani R et al (2017) The sarcopenia and physical fRailty IN older people: multi-componenT Treatment strategies? (SPRINTT) randomized controlled trial: design and methods. Aging Clin Exp Res 29:89–100